

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,509	02/25/2002	Olli Piirainen	P 290688 T200052US/MYL/ko	8667	
909 PILLSBURY V	7590 05/17/200 WINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10500			LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG		
MCLEAN, VA	. 22102		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	. 2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/080,509	PIIRAINEN, OLLI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew C. Lee	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre-		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-11,13-20 and 22-26 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,12 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policinate may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. ted. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is other	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Staç	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/14/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/080,509 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 18 – 26 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. The claims do not indicate clearly whether the claims disclose as method or disclose as apparatus.

3. Claims 18 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims hence disclosed are very ambiguous because the way that the claims written is impossible for a person skilled in art to distinguish which portion of the claim is preamble and which portion of the claim is the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2616

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1 – 26 recite the limitation "at the beginning of the burst", and "at the end of the burst", respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for "the burst".

Claim 1 recites the limitation "at the beginning of the burst" in line 14, and "at the end of the burst" in line 18 of page 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "at the beginning of the burst" in line 11 of page 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "at the end of the burst" in line 11 of page 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "at the beginning of the burst" in line 14, and "at the end of the burst" in line 18 of page 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim10 recites the limitation "at the beginning of the burst" in line 10 of page 5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "at the beginning of the burst" in line 11, and "at the end of the burst" in line 15 of page 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim19 recites the limitation "at the beginning of the burst" in line 14, and "at the end of the burst" in line 18 of page 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2616

The dependent claims are also rejected since they depend upon a rejected base claim and contain the same problems.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 3, 5, 7 11, 13, 15 20, 22, 24 26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miya et al. (US 6721367 B1), in view of Yukitomo et al. (US 6191736 B1), Moulsley (US 6470006 B1) and Nakamura et al. (US 6442218 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 18, 19, 20, Miya et al. disclose the limitation of a method and means for improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access (recited "TDMA system, it is desirable to suppress multi-path propagation by transmitting signals to either path A or path B, each signal is transmitted using a separate slot" correlates to improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access; Fig. 15, column 12, lines 1 – 6), the method comprising: measuring the strength of the signal the base station receives in at least two consecutive time slots (recited "the two weighting factors of path A and path B are selected and transmission with directivities for both paths is performed. Path A is output with time slot 1 and path B is output with time slot

2" correlates to measuring the strength of the signal the base station receives in at least two consecutive time slots; column 12, lines 20 - 25), Miya et al. also disclose determining a first weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a previous time slot (recited "calculate the power of the correlator output and detect times to and t1" correlates to determining a first weighting coefficient; column 8, lines 50 - 54), determining a second weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a following time slot (column 9, lines 1 - 8).

Miya et al. do not teach determining a first weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a previous time slot, determining a second weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a following time slot.

Yukitomo et al. teach determining a first weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a previous time slot (recited "calculate weights using previous received signals" correlates to determining a first weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a previous time slot; column 3, lines 3 – 7), determining a second weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station

Art Unit: 2616

receives in a following time slot (recited "calculate optimal weights using current received signals" correlates to determining a second weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a following time slot; column 3, lines 8-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miya et al. to include determining a first weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a previous time slot, determining a second weighting coefficient by comparing the strength of the signal the base station receives in a time slot with the strength of the signal the base station receives in a following time slot as taught by Yukitomo et al. in order to provide a data communication apparatus and data communication method capable of receiving radio signals without deterioration of reception performance even in the case where an radio signal's direction of arrival changes rapidly as suggested by Yukitomo et al. (see column 2, lines 1 – 5).

Miya et al. and Yukitomo et al. do not disclose reducing by means of the first determined weighting coefficient in soft bit decision-making the significance of at least one symbol at the beginning of the burst the base station receives in a time slot if the difference between the measured signal strengths is considerable, reducing by means of the second determined weighting coefficient in soft bit decision-making the significance of at least-one symbol at the end of the burst the base station receives in a time slot if the difference between the measured signal strengths is considerable.

Art Unit: 2616

Moulsley discloses the limitation of reducing, using the first determined weighting coefficient, in soft bit decision-making the significance of at least one symbol at the beginning of the burst the base station receives in a time slot if the difference between the measured signal strengths is considerable enough to produce an erroneous bit decision (recited "adjusting the timing of subsequent time slots for the channel to reduce interference from the detected interferers"; abstract, lines 9 – 11; "the number of corrupted bits provides an indication of the level of interference at the beginning of the time slots"; column 4, lines 50 – 58; correlates to reducing, using the first determined weighting coefficient, in soft bit decision-making the significance of at least one symbol at the beginning of the burst the base station receives in a time slot), reducing, using the second determined weighting coefficient in soft bit decision-making the significance of at least-one symbol at the end of the burst the base station receives in a time slot if the difference between the measured signal strengths is considerable enough to produce an erroneous bit decision (recited "adjusting the timing of subsequent time slots for the channel to reduce interference from the detected interferers" abstract, lines 9 – 11; "the number of corrupted bits provides an indication of the level of interference at the beginning and at the end of the time slots"; column 4, lines 50 – 58 correlates to reducing at least one symbol at the end of the burst the base station receives in a time slot if the difference between the measured signal strengths is considerable enough to produce an erroneous bit decision).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miya et al. and Yukitomo et al. to include reducing by

Art Unit: 2616

means of the first determined weighting coefficient in soft bit decision-making the significance of at least one symbol at the beginning of the burst the base station receives in a time slot if the difference between the measured signal strengths is considerable, reducing by means of the second determined weighting coefficient in soft bit decision-making the significance of at least-one symbol at the end of the burst the base station receives in a time slot if the difference between the measured signal strengths is considerable as taught by Moulsley in order to a method of controlling the timing of a transmission time slot within a time frame, a channel being allocated to the time slot for transmission from a transmitting station to a receiving station as suggested by Yukitomo et al., see column 1, lines 66 - 67, column 2, lines 1 - 3).

Both Miya et al. and Yukitomo et al. teach in which the strength of a signal received at a base station is measured (Miya et al, recited "selects a weighting factor based on the reception quality" correlates to the strength of a signal received at a base station is measured, column 9, lines 21 - 23; Yukitomo et al., recited "measuring the received signal power", column 4, lines 66 - 67).

However, Miya et al., Yukitomo et al. and Moulsley fail to disclose a decoder for soft decision-making is employed. Nakamura et al. disclose the limitation of a decoder for soft decision-making is employed (recited "include a soft-decision unit for carrying out a soft decision" correlates to a decoder for soft decision-making is employed; Fig. 2, element 2-3a, column 6, line 67, column 7, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miya et al., Yukitomo et al. and Moulsley to include a

Art Unit: 2616

decoder for soft decision-making is employed as taught by Nakamura et al. in order to provide a demodulator which can improve a transmission-line estimate by using tentatively decided data symbols as pilot symbols, and, at the same time, avoids degradation in accuracy of the transmission-line estimate when error rate of the tentatively decided data symbols grows large as suggested by Nakamura et al.(see column 3, lines 21 - 26).

Regarding claims 5, 13, 22, Miya et al. disclose the limitation of a method and means for improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access (recited "TDMA system, it is desirable to suppress multipath propagation by transmitting signals to either path A or path B, each signal is transmitted using a separate slot" correlates to improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access; Fig. 15, column 12, lines 1 – 6).

Miya et al. do not disclose a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients are higher than 0 but lower than 1.

Nakamura et al. disclose the limitation of a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients are higher than 0 but lower than 1 (recited "the tentatively decided data is larger than the predetermined value (e.g. 0.5), the weight W_2 is set to a relatively large value" correlates to the weighting coefficients are higher than 0 but lower than 1; column 12, lines 60 - 69).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miya et al. to include a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients are higher than 0 but lower than 1 such as that taught by Nakamura et al. in order to provide a demodulator which can improve a transmission-line estimate by using tentatively decided data symbols as pilot symbols, and, at the same time, avoids degradation in accuracy of the transmission-line estimate when error rate of the tentatively decided data symbols grows large (as suggested by Nakamura et al., see column 3, lines 21 – 26).

Regarding claims 7,15, 24, Miya et al. disclose the limitation of a method and means for improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access (recited "TDMA system, it is desirable to suppress multipath propagation by transmitting signals to either path A or path B, each signal is transmitted using a separate slot" correlates to improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access; Fig. 15, column 12, lines 1 – 6).

Miya et al. do not disclose a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients have the same values for all symbols to be weighted in each time slot.

Nakamura et al. disclose the limitation of a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients have the same values for all symbols to be weighted in each time slot (recited "the first weight-coefficient-multiplication unit has a

fixed weight (e.g. 1 as in this example)" correlates to the weighting coefficients have the same values for all symbols to be weighted in each time slot; column 12, lines 24 - 25).

Page 11

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miya et al. to include a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients have the same values for all symbols to be weighted in each time slot such as that taught by Nakamura et al. in order to provide a demodulator which can improve a transmission-line estimate by using tentatively decided data symbols as pilot symbols, and, at the same time, avoids degradation in accuracy of the transmission-line estimate when error rate of the tentatively decided data symbols grows large as suggested by Nakamura et al. (see column 3, lines 21 -26).

Regarding claims 8, 16, 25, Miya et al. disclose the limitation of a method and means for improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access (recited "TDMA system, it is desirable to suppress multipath propagation by transmitting signals to either path A or path B, each signal is transmitted using a separate slot" correlates to improving the quality of data transmission in cellular radio systems utilizing time division multiple access; Fig. 15, column 12, lines 1 - 6).

Miya et al. do not disclose a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients have different values for different symbols to be weighted in each time slot. Nakamura et al. disclose the limitation of a method and means as

Art Unit: 2616

claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients have different values for different symbols to be weighted in each time slot (recited "the second weight-coefficient-multiplication unit has a weight W_2 which varies in accordance with the signal r_{rel} indicative of a reliability of the tentatively decided data" correlates to the weighting coefficients have different values for different symbols to be weighted in each time slot; column 12, lines 25 - 28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miya et al. to include a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the weighting coefficients have different values for different symbols to be weighted in each time slot such as that taught by Nakamura et al. in order to provide a demodulator which can improve a transmission-line estimate by using tentatively decided data symbols as pilot symbols, and, at the same time, avoids degradation in accuracy of the transmission-line estimate when error rate of the tentatively decided data symbols grows large as suggested by Nakamura et al. (see column 3, lines 21 – 26).

Regarding claims 17, 26, Miya et al. disclose the limitation of a base station receiver as claimed wherein the base station receiver is implemented by a processor (recited "processing circuits" correlates to the base station receiver is implemented by a processor, Fig. 13)

8. Claims 6, 14, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miya et al. (US 6721367 B1), Yukitomo et al. (US 6470006 B1), Moulsley (US 6470006 B1) and Nakamura et al (US 6442218 B1) as applied to claims 1 – 3, 5, 7 – 11, 13, 15 – 20, 22, 24 – 26, above, and further in view of Shen et al. (US 6483884 B1).

Regarding claims 6, 14, Miya et al., Yukitomo et al. and Nakamura et al. do not disclose a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the strength of the signal received at the base station is determined using RSSI (Received Signal Strength Indicator) measurement.

Shen et al. disclose the limitation of explicitly a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the strength of the signal received at the base station is determined using RSSI (Received Signal Strength Indicator) measurement (recited "are sequentially processed into received signal strength indicators RSSI₀ and RSSI₁ are stored " as the strength of the signal received at the base station is determined using RSSI (Received Signal Strength Indicator) measurement; Fig. 1, column 3, lines 29 – 46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miya et al., Yukitomo et al. and Nakamura et al. to include a method and means as claimed in claimed wherein the strength of the signal received at the base station is determined using RSSI (Received Signal Strength Indicator) measurement as taught by Shen et al. in order to designed to select the best antenna based on real and time delay quality indicators (as suggested by Shen et al., see column 1, lines 8 – 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/080,509 Page 14

Art Unit: 2616

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4, 12, 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Sakoda (US 6456669 B1) teach a data communication method, a
 transmitter, and a cellular radio communication system which are capable of
 transmitting data while reducing the influence of fading caused on the
 transmission path.
 - Tolmunen et al. (US 6658235 B1) disclose a method for transmitting control information in a communication system comprising at least one base station subsystem and a wireless terminal, in which method a set of alternative values are defined for said control information, information is transmitted in packet form between the base station subsystem and the wireless terminal, the packets to be transmitted on a communication channel are transformed into bursts, and at least one burst formed of a packet is supplemented with at least one item of control data, wherein at the receiving state, the control data received in the burst is examined.

Art Unit: 2616

11. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 15

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Andrew C. Lee/::<5/09/2007>

WING CHAN

Page 16

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER